

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/085,502	02/27/2002		David G. McCarthy	402-038-26 2742		
7590 01/09/2004				EXAMINER		
Mark P. Stone			ABRAMS, NEIL			
25 Third Street 4th Floor				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Stamford, CT	06905		2839			
				DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			502	MCCARTHY, DAVID G.					
			er	Art Unit					
		Neil Abı		2839					
Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communica eply	tion appears on ti	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE MAI - Extension after SIX ( - If the peric - If NO peric - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAS of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do for reply is specified above, the maximum statute reply within the set or extended period for reply will received by the Office later than three months after term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecation. ays, a reply within the story period will apply and by statute. cause the ac	event, however, may a reply be time atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from splication to become ABANDONET	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.				
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed (	on <u>12 November</u>	<u>2003</u> .						
2a)∐ Thi	is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[	$oxtimes$ This action is $\iota$	non-final.						
3)∏ Sin clo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	tim(s) <u>17 and 20</u> is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) is/are vim(s) is/are allowed.  tim(s) <u>17 and 20</u> is/are rejected.  tim(s) is/are objected to.  tim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from c							
Application			1	•					
9)[]] The	specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10) <u></u> The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	)□ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	olicant may not request that any objection		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,					
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the								
	oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)	cnowledgment is made of a claim for all b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority doctor Copies of the priority doctor Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International the attached detailed Office action for owledgment is made of a claim for comparison of the foreign languation of the foreign languations was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	cuments have been been been been been been been be	en received. en received in Application ents have been received ile 17.2(a)). tified copies not received under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) e of the specification or pplication has been received under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National d. ) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of F 2) Notice of D	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		4) Interview Summary ( 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/085,502

Art Unit: 2839

Prior rejection upon reconsideration is withdrawn.

Claims 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wise in view of Electri Pak and McCarthy 4775328.

Wise, see fig. 4D discloses a system as recited in claim 17 with four ports for outlets 44, 45, etc each with its own line and neutral lines and sharing a common ground. Shared neutral lines are not shown by Wise but are disclosed by Electri Pak document, (Electri-Pak-7 cable) and by McCarthy, see fig. 10. It would have been obvious to arrange the Wise system with one set of outlets 44, 45 having one shared ground and one shared neutral and the other set having a shared neutral and shared ground. This would enable less neutral conductors to be used and obviousness is derived from clear teachings of the references.

Basically the invention is to use the Wise, fig. 4D system but with an added ground so that each set of ports "share a ground" and with two rather than four neutrals so that each set of ports "share a neutral", rather than one neutral for each port. Clearly use of two ports with shared neutral and ground are suggested by McCarthy, fig. 10 at circuit 1 and circuit 2 and by Electri-Pak. It would have been obvious to from Wise, fig. 4D system with each set 44, 45 and 44'45' arranged just as are the McCarthy circuits 1 and 2 (or those of Electri-Pak) to each share a neutral and a ground. McCarthy and Electri-Pak use four wires for two ports. Wise, as modified, would use eight wires for four ports. Such change clearly suggested by prior art. Claim 20 features clearly taught by Wise, see fig. 2, modules 44, 45, etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,502

Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See above discussion.

Wise and McCarthy are believed of record, but are cited again since the paper listing then is not in the PTO file.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to N. Abrams at telephone number 308-1729.

Abrams/ek

12/24/03

NEIL ABRAMS
EXAMINER
ART UNIT 322